

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/717,216		11/19/2003	Katsuhiko Imai	483/9-1876	6036	
28147	7590	06/14/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
WILLIA	M J. SAP	ONE	A, PHI DIE	A, PHI DIEU TRAN		
COLEMAN SUDOL SAPONE P.C. 714 COLORADO AVENUE BRIDGE PORT, CT 06605				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3637		
			DATE MAILED: 06/14/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(a)				
. Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/717,216	IMAI, KATSUHIKO				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
_		Phi D. A	3637				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 Ap</u>	oril 2006.					
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>8-14</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12-14</u> is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>8-11</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.					
Applicati	on Papers						
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine. The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority L	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 8/2/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/717,216 Page 2

Art Unit: 3637

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of figure 2 to claims 8-11 in the reply filed on 4/4/06 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 8-9, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

RC is indefinite. An abbreviation for a structure not clearly set forth is improper.

Claim 8 line 2 "openings steel frame" is confusing and is thus indefinite.

Line 6 "said building" is indefinite as it lacks antecedent basis.

Line 7 "said portal frame" is indefinite as it lacks antecedent basis.

Line 11 "and/or" is indefinite.

Claim 9 lines 1-2 "locating ...column has an H-shape in cross section" is improper English. Also, "the web" is lacking antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/717,216

Art Unit: 3637

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

5. Claims 8-9, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sheu et al (6295770).

Sheu et al shows a seismic reinforcing structure for an existing reinforced concrete structure having steel frames (3, figure 2, the frame having the enclosure by part 31), the steel frame being fixed to an outside of the reinforced concrete structure, the steel frame having wide flange section without braces (inherently so as it is unclear what kind the braces look like), the steel frame being fixed to the outside of a reinforced concrete column (figure 2, the column 3 on the left) extending in a vertical direction and to an outside of an existing RC beam (4) extending in a horizontal direction of the building, the wide flange section column of the portal frame having a bending rigidity roughly equivalent to that of an existing RC-column (inherently so as they appear to be made of the same material), the column reduce the stress occurring at a connecting part between the existing column and wide flange section column by deforming the wide flange section similar to the existing column under a horizontal load transmitted from the existing beam during an earthquake (inherently so as the structures are connected), the strength in the horizontal direction of the combination of the column and the wide flange section column being increased by decreasing the deformation of the RC column after yielding (inherently so as movement increases the strength of the material) after yielding as to equalize the range of quasielastic deformation of the combination to that of elastic deformation of the wide flange column, the wide flange section column having an H-shape in cross section, the web being closed to the

Application/Control Number: 10/717,216

Art Unit: 3637

RC column, concrete being placed into the space, the wide flange section column being made of steel of low yield point.

Sheu et al shows all the claimed limitations the claimed method steps of reinforcing an existing concrete structure would have been the obvious method steps of reinforcing Sheu et al's structures.

6. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sheu et al (6295770) in view of Geogiev et al (3712008).

Sheu et al shows all the claimed limitations except for tie hoops on the outer surface thereof and being engaged by vertical bars.

Geogiev et al shows tie hoops on the outer surface thereof and being engaged by vertical bars in a column.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Sheu et al's structure to show tie hoops on the outer surface thereof and being engaged by vertical bars because hoops and vertical bars in a column would further strengthen the column as taught by Geogiev et al.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art shows different earth quake resistant building.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phi D A whose telephone number is 571-272-6864. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,216 Page 5

Art Unit: 3637

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 571-272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phi Dieu Tran A

6/11/06